

AMESBURY BRADFORD ON AVON CALNE CHIPPENHAM CORSHAM DEVIZES DOWNTON MAIMESBURY  
 MARLBOROUGH MELKSHAM MERE PEWSEY SALISBURY TIDWORTH TISBURY TROWBRIDGE WARMINSTER  
 WESTBURY WILTON WOOTTON BASSETT **WILTSHIRE'S COMMUNITY AREAS**

George Batten BSc (Hons) C.Eng FICE FIIT  
 Director of Environmental Services



Website: [www.wiltshire.gov.uk](http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk)

Mrs W E Rountree  
 Clerk to Durnford Parish Council  
 Meadowbank House  
 Upper Woodford  
 SALISBURY  
 Wiltshire SP4 6PA

Fax: 01225 751600

Direct Line: 01225 713285

Operator: 01225 713000

E-mail: [peterharris@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:peterharris@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Please ask for: Mr P G Harris

Our ref: PGH/LCH/T/DURN

Your ref:

20th June, 2006

Dear Mrs Rountree

**SPEED LIMIT NETTON**

I refer to your letter of 24th May, 2006 concerning the above.

I have now had an opportunity to consider the points raised in your letter. The reason the section between Netton and Woodford was left unrestricted was so that motorists would see 30 mph terminal signs when approaching Netton. In this way it was considered the speed limit was likely to have more impact and be more effective. I hope your Members can appreciate the reason for proposing the order as shown on the plan.

As regards Great Durnford and the road between there and Netton, I would comment as follows.

The village of Great Durnford does appear to meet the development criteria for a 30 mph restriction. However, my impression from site visits is that traffic speeds are generally relatively low through the village and that the majority of traffic is of a local nature. However, for a speed limit to be correctly signed there would be a requirement to install 300mm repeaters back to back at about 150m spacing throughout the restriction. This would result in about six posts and twelve signs plus six terminal 30 mph signs and six de-restriction signs and six posts. I would suggest that this level of signing would be a considerable environmental intrusion into the attractive village scene.

*Continued/ ...*



Perhaps your Council would care to consider this aspect of a speed limit, the environmental intrusion disbenefits could outweigh the benefits of a speed limit, and let me know their views in due course.

The current criteria for 30 mph speed limits are based on frontage development and are not met for the imposition of a speed limit on the lanes between Netton and Great Dumford.

I hope the above comments are helpful.

Yours sincerely



P G HARRIS  
Casualty Reduction Manager

cc Mr Wren.

cc S. Draper  
area coordinator SDC

cc Paul Gerrard